

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/609,073	SCHNEIDER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Leslie A. Royds	1614	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Pending

 (1) Leslie A. Royds.

(3) _____.

 (2) Office of Melissa Acosta.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 August 2005
Time: PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None.

Claims discussed:

None.

Prior art documents discussed:


None.

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A telephone call was made to the Office of Melissa Acosta on August 23, 2005 to request an oral election, but did not result in an election being made.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)